2·00%).  $v_{\text{max}}$  3050, 1720, 1655, 1620 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Ellagic acid tetraacetate, m.p. 340–341° (Found: C, 55·96; H, 3·15; MeCO–, 36·32.  $C_{22}H_{14}O_{12}$  requires: C, 56·18; H, 3·00; 4 × MeCO–, 36·57%).  $v_{\text{max}}$  1780, 1745, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR: (TFA) 90MHz  $\tau$  1·7 (2H).  $\tau$  7·45 (6H, MeCO–),  $\tau$  7·50 (6H, MeCO–), M<sup>+</sup> m/e 470.

The extract after removal of ellagic acid was chromatographed on an alumina column (Brockmann, E. Merck) to give 3,3'-di-O-methyl-ellagic acid, m.p. 336–338 (Found: C, 57.90; H, 3.16; –OMe, 18.23.  $C_{16}H_{10}O_8$  requires: C, 58.19; H, 3.05; 2 × –OMe, 18.78%).  $v_{\text{max}}$  3200, 1725, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup> superimposable with the IR of 3,3'-di-O-methyl-ellagic acid obtained from *E. wallichii*.

Euphorbia wallichii. Alcoholic extract of the roots of *E. wallichii* deposited crystals identified as 3,3'-di-O-methylellagic acid, m.p. 335–337° (Found: C, 57·72; H, 3·1.  $C_{16}H_{10}O_8$  requires: C, 58·19; H, 3·05%).  $v_{max}$  3200, 1725, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. 3.3'-di-O-Methylellagic acid diacetate, m.p. 300–302° (Found: C, 58·14; H, 3·20,  $C_{20}H_{14}O_{10}$  requires: C, 57·98; H. 3·41%).  $v_{max}$  1760, 1605. NMR: (TFA) 90MHz τ 1·82 (2H), τ 5·58 (6H, -OMe), τ 7·45 (6H, MeCO-),  $M^+m/e$  414.

Acknowledgement—The author is grateful to Dr. Nisar Ahmad, Org. Chem. Institut der Universitat Bonn, West Germany, for NMR and MS.

Phytochemistry, 1974, Vol. 13, pp. 868 to 869. Pergamon Press. Printed in England.

## DEHYDRO-1,8-CINEOLE: A NEW MONOTERPENE OXIDE IN *LAURUS NOBLIS* OIL\*

## JAMES W. HOGG, STUART J. TERHUNE and BRIAN M. LAWRENCE

Stange Canada Ltd., 3340 Orlando Dr., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, L4V 1C7

(Received 24 September 1973, Accepted 16 October 1973)

Key Word Index—Laurus noblis: Lauraccae; essential oil; monoterpene oxide; dehydro-1,8-cineole.

The essential oil of *Laurus noblis* L. has been the subject of a number of investigations. <sup>1–10</sup> In each case 1.8-cineole was found to be the major component. During a recent

- \* Part XVI in the series "Essential Oils and Their Constituents". For Part XV see (1974) Phytochemistry 13, to be published.
- <sup>1</sup> FRYDMAN, B. J., MONTES, A. L. and TROPACEVSKY, A. (1957) Anal. Assoc. Quim. (Argentina) 45, 261.
- <sup>2</sup> NIGAM, I. C., GUPTA, G. N. and DHINGRA, D. R. (1960) Perfum. Essent. Oil Rec. 51, 351.
- <sup>3</sup> EL-DEEB, S. R., KARAWYA, M. S., WAHBA, S. K. and EBEID, F. M. (1962) J. Pharm. Sci. (U. A.R.) 3, 63.
- <sup>4</sup> CHOW, P. N., MOTL, O. and LUKES, V. (1965) Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 30, 917.
- <sup>5</sup> Teisseire, P. (1966) Recherches (Paris) 15, 85.
- <sup>6</sup> KEKELIDZE, N. A., GORYAEV, M. I., DEMBITSKII, A. D., KROTOVA, G. I. and PRUIDZE, V. G. (1966) Izv. Akad. Nauk Kaz. SSR Ser. Khim. 16, 86.
- <sup>7</sup> KEKELIDZE, N. A. and PRUIDZE, V. G. (1967) Nauk-Issled. Inst. Pishch. Pron. 3, 283.
- <sup>8</sup> KEKELIDZE, N. A. (1967) Nauch-Issled. Inst. Pishch. Prom. (USSR) 3, 287.
- <sup>9</sup> GORYAEV, M. I., KEKELIDZE, N. A., KROTOVA, G. I., SERKEBAEVA, T. E. and DEMBITSKII, A. D. (1967) Izv. akad. Nauk. Kaz. SSR Ser. Khim. 17, 78.
- <sup>10</sup> Pertoldi, G. M. and Stancher, B. (1968) Atti Congr. Qual, 6th 1967 (Ital.) 303.

analysis<sup>11</sup> of *L. noblis* oil an unusual compound was isolated from the lower boiling constituents. It is the purpose of this note to describe its structural elucidation. To our knowledge this is the first occasion that dehydro-1,8-cineole has been found occurring naturally.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MS shows  $M^+ = 152$  ( $C_{10}H_{16}O$ ), loss of methyl 137 and parent ion of 109. The NMR spectrum had the following main features. Three quaternary methyl groups at  $\delta$  0.88,  $\delta$  1.17 and  $\delta$  1.23. One vinylic proton  $\delta$  5.99 (d) being coupled to one other proton J8 Hz. Another vinylic proton  $\delta$  6.34 ( $d \times d$ ) coupled to the previously mentioned vinylic proton J8 Hz and the only methine proton J7 Hz. The above data together with the IR data (see Experimental) suggest structure 1 for dehydrocineole. This was confirmed when cineole was found to be the sole product of hydrogenating dehydro-1,8-cineole. It is generally accepted that  $\alpha$ -terpineol is the biosynthetic precursor of 1,8-cineole. As 1,8-cineole is the major constituent of Laurus noblis oil it would require some dehydrogenase at the site of essential oil synthesis to product dehydro-1,8-cineole. If this were the case then it would be the first example of a loss of hydrogen from a terpene oxide during essential oil biosynthesis. Dehydro-1,8-cineole could also be formed in the plant by dehydration of 5- or 6-hydroxy 1,8-cineole or 5 or 6-hydroxy  $\alpha$ -terpineol. The latter route seems more likely in light of the biosynthetic route to 1,8-cineole.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Oil removal and analysis. The essential oil of Laurus noblis was obtained by steam distillation. After normal vacuum fractionation and chromatography over alumina<sup>12</sup> of the lower boiling components, the title compound eluted in fractions just prior to, and including those containing 1,8-cineole. Dehydro-1,8-cineole was finally isolated ( $\sim 90\%$ ) from mixed fractions by careful preparative GC over SF96 and Carbowax 6000.

Spectroscopy. The IR spectrum, which was run as a neat film (0·13 mm spacer) between salt plates on a Beckman IR-8 had the following characteristics:  $\mu$ -3·28 medium, 3·32-3·5 strong, 6·2 weak, 6·9 m, 7·27-7·38 s, 7·55 w, 7·63 m, 7·70 m, 7·95 w, 8·11 s, 8·27 m, 8·40 m, 8·48 m, 8·62 m, 8·73 s, 9·00 s, 9·33 s, 9·71 w, 10·18 s, 10·48 s, 10·62 s, 11·03 m, 11·48 m, 11·90 s, 12·30 w, 13·01 m, 14·21 s, 14·82 m and 15·38 w. The NMR spectrum was run as a 1% soln in CCl<sub>4</sub> on a Varian Aerograph 220 MHz spectrometer. The MS was run on a Varian Aerograph EM 600 mass spectrometer.

Hydrogenation. 5.4 mg of dehydro-1,8-cineole consumed 0.75 ml  $H_2$  in 5 min using Adams catalyst in AcOH. (1 equivalent = 0.8 ml  $H_2$  per double bond). Dual column capillary GC of reaction mixture showed only one peak, the IR of which was identical to 1,8-cineole.

Acknowledgement—We wish to thank Dr. F. Bondavalli, University of Genoa, for sending us a copy of the IR of an authentic specimen of dehydro-1,8-cineole.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Lawrence, B. M. (1972) unpublished results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> LAWRENCE, B. M. (1971) Can. Inst. Food Technol. J. 4, A44.